

The weekly AI picture

05 October - 11 October 2026

76 developments with complete source content, supported by 82 source pages. What is changing for people, and what is changing for AI?

What the sources describe

Source reading	People	AI / operators
Gains only	41 (53.9%)	45 (59.2%)
Losses / limitations only	9 (11.8%)	7 (9.2%)
Gains and losses / limitations	8 (10.5%)	15 (19.7%)
No direction stated	18 (23.7%)	9 (11.8%)
Total	76	76

Each column counts every included development once. Percentages are rounded. Mixed findings occupy their own category. The two columns are not added together.

Read the evidence before the headline number

The collection contains 134 developments. 58 are excluded from these percentages because complete source evidence or a completed reading is unavailable. They remain in the online collection audit.

These figures describe source reporting, including attributed claims, anticipated benefits, risks and recommendations. They do not establish independently verified effects or how many people experienced them.

Follow the finding to the source

Each development has an individual human reading and an individual AI reading, with the source links in the online record. A source does not have to discuss both dimensions.

For people

Gains and losses concern ability, access, opportunity, control or welfare. A credible description of a risk or expected benefit is recorded as a source claim; it is not converted into proof that the effect occurred.

For AI and its operators

Gains and limitations concern capabilities, use, reach, resources and operating conditions. Investment or adoption can inform this side without automatically establishing a benefit for people.

Mixed and missing mean different things

A source can describe gains and losses together. No direction stated is a valid complete-source reading and stays in the denominator. Missing bodies and media summaries without complete transcripts are excluded.

Scope and comparison

82 source pages were grouped into 76 developments. English, French and Chinese reporting is collected through five search markets. Those markets are a research sample, and source location does not establish where an event happened.

Availability checks are automatic, not a certification of classification accuracy. Unavailable publishers can bias this sample. Earlier collection-volume totals are audit records and are not directly comparable with these complete-content findings.

Explore the source records

[Open the individual readings and sources](#)

[Explore the source records and exclusions](#)

A research initiative by Kedma Hamelberg.